Attendance: Committee members present: Anna Scott (Chair), George Patterson, Paxton Hoag Absent: Vic Parkison, Bob-O Schultz, Anthony Stoppiello, Mark Johnson Other Participants present: Sarah Haimowitz, Geoffrey Johnson, Mark Pankratz

Recorder: Diane McWhorter

The meeting began at 6:00 pm by conference call at the OCF office.

Agenda:

- 1. Introductions/ Membership
- 2. Review Minutes of last meeting
- 3. Review last drafts of
 - a. Peach Power Charter/ Set-up
 - b. Priority Planning List
 - c. Budget Summary
- 4. 2011 Capital Project and Mycoremediation Grant check-in
- 5. Future Intern projects
- 6. Developing integrated resource plan
- 1. Introductions/ Membership: Discussion: It may be reasonable to put in some minimum attendance requirement for committee meetings to ensure more committed members and better dialogues. Perhaps attendance at 50% or 75% of the 12 meetings each year could be required for membership, or some number of consecutive meetings missed might remove a member from the committee. An online workplace could be set up for members to access on the new website. The "Go To Meeting" program could be explored in place of or in conjunction to the conference call system to increase ease of attendance.
- 2. Minutes Review: Approved by general agreement
- 3. Review drafts
- a. Peach Power Charter/ Set-up: Anna made a few updates to the document originally sent to the Board in 2007, and has submitted it to the Board. The revisions are italicized in the attached document. Page 2, #7 refers to the possibility of allocating funds for support for operations utilizing staff. A needed resource that could be added in follow-through would be a project coordinator.

Adding language referring to energy efficiency and conservation measures would broaden the scope of committee activities to respond to the areas of action defined by OCFmembership as necessary or desirable.

It was suggested to simplify the language slightly, such as substituting "may" for "can elect to", but members generally approved the document as amended.

- b. Priority Planning List: Generally approved as written.
- c. Budget summary: the amounts are estimates. The money can be carried over into additional years for extended projects. Approved.
- 4. Capital Projects and Mycoremediation Grant check-in: The \$400 for the mycoremediation testing was submitted and recommended by the Budget Committee to be approved by the BOD. Jeffrey reported that he will be ready to begin working at the beginning of spring term (around 3-25-11) and would like input from members regarding the details of his internship. Suggestions included:
- a. Anna met with Charlie Ruff, who suggested that perhaps Steve W. could supervise the intern, so he will be consulted, and is waiting for some information about insurance which should be resolved soon.
 - b. A draft from Chris Mellotti at Cascade Mycological Society is in progress.
 - c. Could add an integrated resource plan to the project, with a site map.
- d. This seems to be a new type of project partnership, and just this compost proposal will cross into the interest areas of several committees, who might have ideas to broaden or extend it.
- e. Specifics of the plan include injecting an old compost pile with mycelia, in a "hedgerow" style on countour downhill from the pile. Species will depend on testing results. The intern will analyze soil, plant tissue, and the closest standing water.
 - f. Subsequent student projects could be incorporated to build on the work.
- 5. Future Intern Projects
- a. Student projects should be open-ended and have clear outcomes and expectations, plus possible supervision by assistant manager or other staff and help with project coordination.
- b. Projects generally need a "champion." Committee can do the fact-finding and convey needs to the Board. Peg Bouley at the UO could be a resource in developing educational programs.

- c. Often a licensed professional is needed for some of the work. Need skills bank.
- d. The Vision Action Committee could be a resource.
- e. Paxton and Sarah may be willing to attend virtual meetings on this topic. Sarah could do some research for grants with a small subscription to a Foundation Center database (\$30 per month) if authorized and given some specific direction.

6. Integrated Resource Plan

- a. A map of resources on site is needed, and a student could work with LUMP and staff to develop it
- b. A "facilitators tribe" would be helpful so some of the big groups of combined committees could have work sessions together to work on big projects.

Next meeting 3-28-11, 6:00 pm at the office and virtually. Proposed Agenda: Outcome from the BOD meeting, Mycoremediation update (perhaps including Chris Mellotti), Integrated Resource plan, Future student projects, Calendar, other.

Meeting adjourned at 7:30, with additional informal discussion with Geoffrey regarding his work plan.